

OCP Implementation Committee (OCP IC) April 15th, 2015 Meeting Notes - DRAFT

Attending: Krista Tulloch, Dan Ellis, Arash Rezai, Paul Tubb, Corrie Kost, Peter Klinkow, Kolton Smith, David DeMuyck, Adele Wilson, Adrian Chaster. **Staff:** Sarah Dal Santo, Kevin Zhang

Regrets: Robin Hicks, Elaine Grenon, Heidi Nesbitt, Rory Barlow, Chris Meyer, Erin Black, David McLeod

1. **Approval of agenda and next meeting date:** Agenda approved and next meeting date tentatively scheduled for **May 6th, 2015**.

2. **Review and approval of meeting notes from February 25th, 2015 Committee meeting:** Meeting notes approved with corrections made to the list of attendees.

3. General Updates

Staff circulated the Information Report (sent to Council) that reports on the committee's contribution and achievements in 2014 and outlines their proposed work plan for 2015.

Sarah Dal Santo provided an overview of the proposed community outreach and engagement strategy for the Lower Capilano Village Centre Public Realm Guidelines. Engagement will be in the form of an open house event with display materials and a feedback form that can also be completed online. Notifications for the event will include: North Shore News advertising, posting on the District's website, social media (Facebook and Twitter), posters in the community and an email blast to interested community members and stakeholders. The Open House is anticipated to take place in May at the Grouse Inn with the final date TBD.

4. Update and Discussion on the OCP Progress Monitoring Report

Staff circulated an Incomplete Working Draft (not for circulation) of the OCP Monitoring Report, and walked the Committee through the various sections of the report including: Indicator Selection Process, Summary of Headline Targets and Indicators, Dashboard of Summary Results, as well as the Growth Management and Urban Structure, Housing, Transportation, Economic Development/ Employment Lands, and Parks and Open Space (part way only) of the Indicator Analysis and Monitoring Results chapter. Staff indicated that the report has been informed by other local government examples (such as the City of Victoria's OCP Monitoring Report) and that the selected indicators have gone through a careful screening process to ensure they are reliable, meaningful, understandable, and directly relevant in measuring progress towards OCP targets and objectives. Staff also clarified that the report is intended to show progress from the baseline year - 2011 (when the OCP was adopted) to the end of 2014.

Discussion

Committee member comments on the OCP Progress Monitoring Report are summarized as follows:

- The “Frequent Transit Network” needs to be defined, and label “existing” and “planned” FTN.
- Need to check the definition of “private residence” in the Housing Tenure section.
- Number and percentages of units inside and outside of centres needs to be explained.
- Resize maps so they are easier to read.
- Define “attached” and “detached” units.
- Explore opportunities in graphics to show advancement towards the OCP target, even if progress is slow and gradual.
- Check rounding of decimal numbers in tables.
- Check with MetroVancouver re the breakdown of rental housing units. Are secondary and strata rental units included? (Likely not.)
- Provide clarity that the transportation data is derived from Translink’s trip diary data.
- Suggest that bike connections should be shown on the transportation map.
- Include definitions for employment land uses, and check status of “mixed use” category.
- Refer to “building floor space” versus “building area”.
- Noted that jobs located in the District may not be filled by District residents

Conversation ensued as to whether the OCP Progress Monitoring Report should explore progress towards reducing traffic congestion. It was generally recognized that OCP goals focus on increasing the mode share and directing new growth to centres where people can access services, shops and jobs by walking or cycling. Also, as the Lower Mainland continues to grow as a region, it is likely that congestion will continue to rise.

With respect to employment lands, the limitations of the census data in tracking place of work (in the District or outside) and the type of work in the District was recognized. Uncertainty was also raised as to whether the census data accurately reported the place of work of North Vancouver School District Teachers. (While the School Board Office is located in the City, actual place of work could be in the District.)

5. Other Business

A committee member asked about the status and mandate of the Natural Hazards Task Force, with particular interest in hazard risk management. This Task Force was formed in 2007 to recommend to Council the tolerable level of risk to life from natural hazards. Recommendations for risk tolerance criteria were made to Council in 2008. For more information see

<http://www.dnv.org/article.asp?c=1024>

6. Adjournment