

OCP Implementation Committee (OCP IC) May 7th, 2015 Meeting Notes - DRAFT

Attending: Adele Wilson, Krista Tulloch, Arash Rezai, Elaine Grenon, Paul Tubb, Corrie Kost, Peter Klinkow, Kolton Smith, David DeMuynck, Adrian Chaster; **Staff:** Sarah Dal Santo, Darren Veres, Kevin Zhang

Regrets: Dan Ellis, Heidi Nesbitt, Rory Barlow, Chris Meyer, David McLeod, Robin Hicks

- 1. Approval of agenda and next meeting date:** Agenda approved with changes and next meeting date scheduled for **June 9th (amended from June 4th), 2015.**
The Chair announced that Erin Black has resigned from the Committee although she will continue to stay involved in OCP implementation through Vancouver Coastal Health's Memorandum of Understanding with the District.
- 2. Review and approval of meeting notes from February 25, 2015 Committee meeting:** Meeting notes approved with corrections.
- 3. Update and discussion on OCP Monitoring and Reporting Program**
Staff circulated a working draft (not for circulation) of the OCP Monitoring and Report and provided a recap of the work completed since the April 15 meeting. It was clarified that the document had not changed substantially from the previous meeting.

Discussion:

Parks and Open Spaces

The review began on page 28 of the document. The Committee generally liked the new layout that was presented. Other comments included:

- The phrase "reasonable walking distance" was considered to be too vague; consider using 400 - 800 m definition instead.
- Consider including outdoor community space in park area calculations for centres.
- Highlight critical connections to community destinations and parks; Explain "connectivity" in a fact box to tell the story.
- Recognize that each centre will have different park space needs. Explain the importance of park usability/quality – to meet the needs of centres residents.
- Telling the "story" behind indicator performance (or lack of) is critical to the monitoring program usefulness of the document.

- Add caveat that changes demonstrated in the “Length of trails” indicator may reflect newly available data and not an actual change in length of trails.
- The classification “Unclassified” needs to be defined.

Environmental Management:

- Consider highlighting program/service improvements and innovations (e.g. Integrated Storm Management Plan) and explain why there are important?
- Consider explaining that according to Metro Vancouver’s Integrated Liquid Waste and Resource Management Plan all Integrated Stormwater Management Plans need to be completed by 2016.

Climate Action:

- Section includes community and corporate data.
- 2012 and 2014 data not available.
- Put DNV electric vehicle support strategy in Key Facts- encourages developers to put in plug for electric vehicle in new multifamily buildings.
- Explain pie chart; add superscript 2 to CO²; use contrasting colour
- Explain that “corporate” category includes DNV municipal government and not businesses in DNV.
- Need to include metric “tonnes” in the table
- Consider including light pollution in the Climate Action section.

Financial Sustainability:

- Consider including an explanation of how green buildings/waste disposal technologies impact the financial sustainability of the District.
- Consider merging “financial sustainability” and “infrastructure” sections or locating both consecutively in the document for more clarity.
- Consider including information on community amenities and their impacts on taxes.
- Important to tell story of why infrastructure needs to be replaced at the most cost effective time.
- Add a diagram to help explain what a “Capital Plan” is.

OCP Targets

General comments on high-level overview of the approach:

- Acknowledge plan hierarchy: plan most important, then targets, then the indicators.
- The “story” about the OCP is currently missing and is a critical element of the “big picture”.
- Consider including an indicator for senior’s housing such as accessible units.
- More images/less words.
- Clarification needed for the word “workforce” within the economic development section.
- Consider providing a condensed version of the report in a convenient broad sheet, fold-up format in addition to the full report.

- Livability Index prepared by American Association of Retired Persons (AARP) could provide an interesting model for assessing the livability of our centres in the future.

4. Other business and items for next meeting

Staff provided an update and overview of the upcoming open house for the Lower Capilano Village Centre Public Realm Guidelines scheduled for May 21st. Design Guidelines featuring the Village's streets, plazas, parks, and open space will be on display for feedback and an online feedback form will be available both on at the event and on the web. Several members expressed interest in attending the events to represent the Committee.

5. Adjournment

DRAFT