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Summary
Summary

- Sample characteristics:
  - The survey had a total of 202 completed responses.
  - 73% of responses are from individuals outside of the neighbourhood zone (ONZ) (n=147), and 27% of responses are from individuals inside the neighbourhood zone (INZ) (n=55).
  - 63% of responses are from individuals who did not participate in the Delbrook Dialogue held on June 18, 2016 (n=127), and 37% of responses are from individuals who did participate in the Dialogue (n=74).

- Results:
  - Seniors respite care:
    - 88% of responses are in support of seniors respite care on the Delbrook site.
    - There is no statistically significant difference in support for seniors respite care on the Delbrook site between the two response groups.
  - Building floors:
    - 4+ floors is the most frequently supported number of floors. 59 survey respondents selected 4+ floors, followed by 2+ floors (51 respondents), and 3+ floors (45 respondents).
    - There is a statistically significant relationship between support for number of floors and neighbourhood zone.
      - The most frequently supported number of floors for respondents INZ is 2+ floors. 24 respondents living INZ indicated that they support 2+ floors, compared to 27 respondents living ONZ.
      - The most frequently supported number of floors for respondents ONZ is 4+ floors. 50 respondents living ONZ indicated that they support 4+ floors, compared to 9 respondents living INZ.
Summary

Results – continued…

- **Park objectives:**
  - 86.5% of respondents selected “yes” to “have we hit the mark with our park objectives” (n=160), and 13.5% selected “no” (n=25).
  - There is not a statistically significant difference in agreement for hitting the mark between the two response groups.

- **Building inhabitants:**
  - The top ranked building inhabitants:
    - Families (30% of responses)
    - Seniors (26% of responses)
    - People with special needs or accessibility challenges (22% of responses)
  - There is not a statistically significant difference in the rank of any building inhabitants between the two response groups.

- **Park use:**
  - The top ranked park use activities are:
    - Relax (68% of responses)
    - Get exercise and fresh air (14% of responses)
    - Enjoy the creek and natural parkland (7% of responses)
  - There is not a statistically significant difference in the rank of any park use options between the two response groups.
Summary

Results – continued…

- Building character:
  - The top ranked building character selections are:
    - West Coast (62% of responses)
    - Modern (12% of responses)
    - Craftsman (9% of responses)
  - There is not a statistically significant difference in the rank of any building character selection between the two response groups.

- Transportation:
  - The top ranked transportation selections are:
    - Walk (80%)
    - Cycle (10%)
    - Drive (9%)
  - There is a statistically significant relationship between transportation and neighbourhood zone.
    - 100% of respondents living INZ indicated that they would walk (n=53%) compared to 73% of the respondents living ONZ (n=93)
Summary

Results – continued…

- Park features:
  - The park features that respondents rated as most important (lowest mean score) are: washroom (mean score of 1.65), habitat (mean score of 1.73), and accessible (mean score of 1.79).
  - There is a statistically significant relationship between the two response zones for the following park features:
    - Cycling - 26% of respondents INZ indicated that cycling is very important (a score of 1), compared to 50% of respondents ONZ.
    - Spray park - 2% of respondents INZ indicated that spray park is very important (a score of 1), compared to 10% of respondents ONZ.
Sample Characteristics
The survey has a total of 202 completed responses.

- Neighbourhood zone:
  - 73% of responses are from individuals outside of the neighbourhood zone (n=147), and 27% of responses are from individuals inside of the neighbourhood zone (n=55).

- Delbrook Dialogue participation:
  - 63% of responses are from individuals who did not participate in the Delbrook Dialogue held on June 18, 2016 (n=127), and 37% of responses are from individuals who participated in the Dialogue (n=74).
Sample Information

**Postal Code**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Postal Code</th>
<th># Responses</th>
<th>% Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>V7N</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>52%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V7R</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V7K</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V7J</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V7L</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V7H</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V7P</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V7M</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V7G</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V7W</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T7P</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V3B</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V5J</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V5Y</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V5Z</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V6J</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V7A</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V7B</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sum of Unit: 104

% Total: 52% 17% 8% 6% 4% 2% 2% 1% 0% 0%
Seniors Respite Care
Do you support seniors respite care on the Delbrook site?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inside Neighbourhood Zone</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outside Neighbourhood Zone</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Seniors Respite Care**

- 88% of responses are in support of seniors respite care on the Delbrook site (n=173) and 11% of responses are not in support (n=23).

- There is not a statistically significant difference in support for seniors respite care on the Delbrook site between those inside the neighbourhood zone and those outside the neighbourhood zone.
Building Floors
Results - Building Floors

How many floors of housing above the ground-level parking floor on the west side of the building do you support?

% Total for Inside/Outside Neighbourhood Zone Sample

- Inside Neighbourhood Zone
- Outside Neighbourhood Zone

- 4+ floors: 17% (Inside), 36% (Outside)
- 4 floors: 4% (Inside), 4% (Outside)
- 3+ floors: 17% (Inside), 26% (Outside)
- 3 floors: 2% (Inside), 6% (Outside)
- 2+ floors: 20% (Inside), 44% (Outside)
- 2 floors: 17% (Inside), 9% (Outside)

*The chi-square statistic is 18.893. The P-Value is 0.002. The result is significant at p ≤ 0.05
Building Inhabitants
Results - Building Inhabitants

Who should live in this building?
First Selection

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th># Responses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Families</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seniors</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>People with special needs or accessibility challenges</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Youth</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>People who are homeless</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Inside Neighbourhood Zone
- Families: 21
- Seniors: 16
- People with special needs or accessibility challenges: 9
- Youth: 9
- People who are homeless: 0

Outside Neighbourhood Zone
- Families: 38
- Seniors: 35
- People with special needs or accessibility challenges: 35
- Youth: 28
- People who are homeless: 7

Building Inhabitants – First Selection

- The top ranked building inhabitants are:
  1. Families (30% of responses)
  2. Seniors (26% of responses)
  3. People with special needs or accessibility challenges (22% of responses)
  4. Youth (19% responses)
  5. People who are homeless (4% of responses)

- There is not a statistically significant difference in the first selection for building inhabitants between those inside the neighbourhood zone and those outside the neighbourhood zone.
Building Character
Results - Building Character

Building Character – First Selection

- The top ranked building character selections are:
  1. West Coast (62% of responses)
  2. Modern (12% of responses)
  3. Craftsman (9% of responses)
  4. Tudor (8% responses)
  5. Edwardian (5% of responses)
  6. Mansardic (5% of responses)

- There is not a statistically significant difference in the first selection for building character between those inside the neighbourhood zone and those outside the neighbourhood zone.
Park Objectives
Results - Park Objectives

86.5% of respondents selected “yes” to “have we hit the mark with our park objectives” (n=160), and 13.5% selected “no” (n=25).

There is not a statistically significant difference in agreement for hitting the mark between those inside the neighbourhood zone and those outside the neighbourhood zone.
Park Use
Results - Park Use

How would you use this park?
First Selection

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Inside Neighbourhood Zone</th>
<th>Outside Neighbourhood Zone</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Relax</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Get exercise and fresh air</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enjoy the creek and natural parkland</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Socialize</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Play tennis</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walk my dog</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Park Use Rank – First Selection

- The top ranked park use activities are:
  1. Relax (68% of responses)
  2. Get exercise and fresh air (14%)
  3. Enjoy the creek and natural parkland (7%)
  4. Socialize (7%)

- There is not a statistically significant difference in the first selection for rank of park use between those inside the neighbourhood zone and those outside the neighbourhood zone.
Transportation to Park
Results - Transportation

How would you get to the park? - First Selection
% Total for Inside/Outside Neighbourhood Zone Sample

- **Walk**: 73% Inside Neighbourhood Zone, 0% Outside Neighbourhood Zone
- **Cycle**: 14% Inside Neighbourhood Zone, 0% Outside Neighbourhood Zone
- **Drive**: 13% Inside Neighbourhood Zone, 0% Outside Neighbourhood Zone

*The chi-square statistic is 18.085. The P-Value is 0.000. The result is significant at p ≤ 0.05*
Park Features
Results - Park Features

The park features that respondents rated as most important (lowest mean score) are: washroom (mean score of 1.65), habitat (mean score of 1.73), and accessible (mean score of 1.79)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ranking</th>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>Total Sample</th>
<th>Inside Neighbourhood Zone</th>
<th>Outside Neighbourhood Zone</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Washroom</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>1.96</td>
<td>1.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Habitat</td>
<td>1.73</td>
<td>1.68</td>
<td>1.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Accessible</td>
<td>1.79</td>
<td>1.93</td>
<td>1.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Cycling</td>
<td>1.88</td>
<td>2.06</td>
<td>1.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Picnic</td>
<td>1.93</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>1.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Multiuse</td>
<td>1.93</td>
<td>2.12</td>
<td>1.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Playground</td>
<td>2.02</td>
<td>2.33</td>
<td>1.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Bridge</td>
<td>2.19</td>
<td>2.32</td>
<td>2.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Community Garden</td>
<td>2.51</td>
<td>2.52</td>
<td>2.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Multi-sport</td>
<td>2.54</td>
<td>2.71</td>
<td>2.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Parking</td>
<td>2.57</td>
<td>3.04</td>
<td>2.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Tennis</td>
<td>2.62</td>
<td>2.43</td>
<td>2.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Circuit</td>
<td>2.85</td>
<td>3.02</td>
<td>2.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Spray Park</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>3.34</td>
<td>2.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Gazebo</td>
<td>3.10</td>
<td>3.06</td>
<td>3.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Bandstand</td>
<td>3.37</td>
<td>3.45</td>
<td>3.34</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Thank you